arXiv:1503.08575v1 [math.GN] 30 Mar 2015

THE COMPACT STRONG Z-SET PROPERTY IN A HYPERSPACE OF FINITE SUBSETS

KATSUHISA KOSHINO

Abstract. Let X be a non-degenerate, connected, locally path-connected metrizable space and $\mathrm{Fin}(X)$ be the hyperspace consisting of non-empty finite subsets in X endowed with the Vietoris topology. In this paper, we show that every compact set in $\mathrm{Fin}(X)$ is a strong Z-set.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, spaces are metrizable and maps are continuous. A closed subset A of a space X is said to be a *(strong) Z-set* in X if for each open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of X, there exists a map $f : X \to X$ such that f is $\mathcal{U}$-close to the identity map on X and the (closure of) image misses A. We recall that for maps $f : X \to Y$ and $g : X \to Y$, and for an open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of Y, f is $\mathcal{U}$-close to g if for each $x \in X$, there exists a member $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that the both $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are contained in U. These notions play central roles in the theory of infinite-dimensional topology. It is said that a space X has *the compact (strong) Z-set property* if every compact set in X is a (strong) Z-set. This property is very important because typical infinite-dimensional manifolds have the compact strong Z-set property. Given a space X, let $\mathrm{Fin}(X)$ be the hyperspace of non-empty finite subsets of X endowed with the Vietoris topology. D. Curtis [2, Proposition 7.3] proved that if X is non-degenerate, connected, locally path-connected and **σ-compact**,[1] then $\mathrm{Fin}(X)$ has the compact strong Z-set property. In the case that X is not separable, M. Yaguchi [11, Proposition 6.1] showed that if X is a normed linear space of dimension ≥ 1, then $\mathrm{Fin}(X)$ has the compact strong Z-set property. In this paper, we generalize these results as follows:

Main Theorem. *Let X be non-degenerate, connected and locally path-connected. Then* $\mathrm{Fin}(X)$ *has the compact strong Z-set property.*

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we fix some notation and introduce some lemmas concerning nice subdivisions of simplicial complexes used in the next section. We denote the set of natural numbers by $\mathbb{N}$ and the closed unit interval by $\mathbf{I}$. Let $Y = (Y, \rho)$ be a metric space. For a point $y \in Y$ and a subset $A \subset Y$, we define the distance $\rho(y, A)$ between y and A by $\rho(y, A) = \inf\{\rho(y, a) \mid a \in A\}$. For $\epsilon > 0$, let $B_\rho(y, \epsilon) = \{y' \in Y \mid$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 54B20, Secondary: 54F65, 57N20.

Key words and phrases. hyperspace, the Vietoris topology, the Hausdorff metric, Z-set, strong Z-set.

[1] A space is σ-(locally) compact provided that it is a countable union of (locally) compact subsets.

$\rho(y, y') < \epsilon\}$, $\overline{B_\rho(y, \epsilon)} = \{y' \in Y \mid \rho(y, y') \leq \epsilon\}$, $N_\rho(A, \epsilon) = \{y' \in Y \mid \rho(y', A) < \epsilon\}$ and $\overline{N_\rho(A, \epsilon)} = \{y' \in Y \mid \rho(y', A) \leq \epsilon\}$. The diameter of Y is denoted by $\operatorname{diam}_\rho Y$. Let $\operatorname{Comp}(Y) = (\operatorname{Comp}(Y), \rho_H)$ be the hyperspace consisting of compact subsets of Y with the Hausdorff metric ρ_H induced by ρ defined as follows:

$$\rho_H(A, B) = \inf\{r > 0 \mid A \subset N_\rho(B, r), B \subset N_\rho(A, r)\}.$$

Note that $\operatorname{Fin}(Y)$ is regarded as a subspace of $\operatorname{Comp}(Y)$.

Given a simplicial complex K, we denote the polyhedron[2] of K by $|K|$ and the n-skeleton of K by $K^{(n)}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Regarding $\sigma \in K$ as a simplicial complex consisting of its faces, we write $\sigma^{(n)}$ as the set of i-faces of σ, $i \leq n$. The boundary of a simplex σ is denoted by $\partial\sigma$. The next two lemmas are used in the proof of Theorem E in [1].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $Y = (Y, \rho)$ be a metric space, K a simplicial complex and $f : |K| \to Y$ a map. For each map $\alpha : Y \to (0, \infty)$, there exists a subdivision K' of K such that* $\operatorname{diam}_\rho f(\sigma) < \inf_{x \in \sigma} \alpha f(x)$ *for all $\sigma \in K'$.*

Proof. By induction, we shall construct subdivisions K_n of the n-skeleton $K^{(n)}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ so that $K_n \subset K_{n+1}$ and $\operatorname{diam}_\rho f(\sigma) < \inf_{x \in \sigma} \alpha f(x)$ for every $\sigma \in K_n$. Then $K' = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} K_n$ will be the desired subdivision of K. Let $K_0 = K^{(0)}$. Assume that K_n has been constructed. Take any $\sigma \in K^{(n+1)} \setminus K^{(n)}$ and let $\delta = \inf_{x \in \sigma} \alpha f(x)/2 > 0$. By the inductive assumption, we have $\operatorname{diam}_\rho f(\tau) < \inf_{x \in \tau} \alpha f(x)$ for every $\tau \in \{\tau' \in K_n \setminus K_{n-1} \mid \tau' \subset \sigma\}$. It follows from the continuity of α and the compactness of τ that there is $0 < \delta_\tau < (\inf_{x \in \tau} \alpha f(x) - \operatorname{diam}_\rho f(\tau))/3$ such that for each $y \in N_\rho(f(\tau), \delta_\tau)$, $\alpha(y) > (2 \inf_{x \in \tau} \alpha f(x) + \operatorname{diam}_\rho f(\tau))/3$. Then we have the open cover

$$\{(f|_\sigma)^{-1}(B_\rho(y, \delta)) \setminus \partial\sigma \mid y \in Y\} \cup \{(f|_\sigma)^{-1}(N_\rho(f(\tau), \delta_\tau)) \mid \tau \in K_n \setminus K_{n-1} \text{ and } \tau \subset \sigma\}$$

of σ. By the same argument as [9, Proposition 4.7.10], we can find a triangulation K_σ of σ such that $\{\tau \in K_n \mid \tau \subset \sigma\} \subset K_\sigma$ and the following conditions are satisfied:

- $\sigma' \subset (f|_\sigma)^{-1}(B_\rho(y, \delta))$ for some $y \in Y$ if $\sigma' \in K_\sigma$ and $\sigma' \cap \partial\sigma = \emptyset$;
- $\sigma' \subset (f|_\sigma)^{-1}(N_\rho(f(\tau), \delta_\tau))$ if $\sigma' \in K_\sigma$ and $\tau \subset \sigma'$ for some $\tau \in K_n \setminus K_{n-1}$.

When $\sigma' \in K_\sigma$ and $\sigma' \cap \partial\sigma = \emptyset$, $f(\sigma') \subset B_\rho(y, \delta)$, and hence

$$\operatorname{diam}_\rho f(\sigma') < 2\delta = \inf_{x \in \sigma} \alpha f(x) \leq \inf_{x \in \sigma'} \alpha f(x).$$

When $\sigma' \in K_\sigma$ and $\tau \subset \sigma'$ for some $\tau \in K_n \subset K_{n-1}$, $f(\sigma') \subset N_\rho(f(\tau), \delta_\tau))$, so

$$\operatorname{diam}_\rho f(\sigma') < \operatorname{diam}_\rho f(\tau) + 2\delta_\tau < (2 \inf_{x \in \tau} \alpha f(x) + \operatorname{diam}_\rho f(\tau))/3 \leq \inf_{x \in \sigma'} \alpha f(x).$$

Let $K_{n+1} = \{K_\sigma \mid \sigma \in K^{(n+1)} \setminus K^{(n)}\}$. Thus the proof is complete. □

Lemma 2.2. *For each map $\alpha : |K| \to (0, \infty)$ of the polyhedron of a simplicial complex K and $\beta > 1$, there is a subdivision K' of K such that* $\sup_{x \in \sigma} \alpha(x) < \beta \inf_{x \in \sigma} \alpha(x)$ *for any $\sigma \in K'$.*

Proof. For each $x \in |K|$, we can choose an open neighborhood $U(x)$ of x in $|K|$ so that if $y \in U(x)$, then $|\alpha(x) - \alpha(y)| < (\beta - 1)\alpha(x)/(\beta + 1)$. Then $\mathcal{U} = \{U(x) \mid x \in |K|\}$ is an open cover of $|K|$. According to Theorem 4.7.11 of [9], there is a subdivision K' of K that refines $\mathcal{U}$. Take any simplex $\sigma \in K'$ and any point $y \in \sigma$. By the compactness of σ, we can find $z \in \sigma$ such that $\alpha(z) = \inf_{z' \in \sigma} \alpha(z')$. Since

---

[2] In this paper, we do not need polyhedra to be metrizable.

K' refines $\mathcal{U}$, there exists a point $x \in |K|$ such that $\sigma \subset U(x)$. Then $|\alpha(x)-\alpha(y)| < (\beta-1)\alpha(x)/(\beta+1)$ and $|\alpha(x)-\alpha(z)| < (\beta-1)\alpha(x)/(\beta+1)$. Observe that

$$\alpha(y) < 2\beta\alpha(x)/(\beta+1) < \beta\alpha(z),$$

which implies that $\sup_{z' \in \sigma} \alpha(z') < \beta \inf_{z' \in \sigma} \alpha(z')$. Hence K' is the desired subdivision. □

3. The compact strong Z-set property of $\mathrm{Fin}(X)$

This section is devoted to proving the main theorem. From now on, we use an admissible metric d on a space X and the Hausdorff metric d_H induced by d on the hyperspace $\mathrm{Fin}(X)$. Combining Lemmas 2.3, 3.6, and the proof of Theorem 2.4 of [4] (cf. [11, Proposition 3.1]), we have the following proposition:

Proposition 3.1. *The hyperspace* $\mathrm{Fin}(X)$ *is an AR if and only if* X *is connected and locally path-connected.*

Lemma 3.2. *If* X *is non-degenerate and connected, then for each* $x \in X$ *and* $0 < \epsilon < \mathrm{diam}_d X/4$*, there exists a point* $y \in X$ *such that* $d(x,y) = \epsilon$*.*

Proof. Suppose the contrary. Then X can be separated by disjoint non-empty open subsets $B_d(x,\epsilon)$ and $X \setminus \overline{B_d}(x,\epsilon)$, which contradicts to the connectedness of X. Thus the proof is complete. □

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that* $\{A_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *is a sequence in* $\mathrm{Fin}(X)$ *converging to* $A \in \mathrm{Fin}(X)$*. Then for each* $B_n \subset A_n$*,* $\{B_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *has a subsequence converging to some* $B \subset A$*.*

Proof. According to Lemma 1.11.2. (3)[3] of [6], $\tilde{A} = A \cup \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} A_n$ is compact. Hence the hyperspace $\mathrm{Comp}(\tilde{A}) = (\mathrm{Comp}(\tilde{A}), (d|_{\tilde{A}\times\tilde{A}})_H)$ is compact, see [9, Theorem 5.12.5. (3)], which implies that $\{B_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ has a subsequence $\{B_{n_i}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ converging to some $B \in \mathrm{Comp}(\tilde{A})$. By Lemma 1.11.2. (2)[3] of [6], we have

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \{x \in X \mid \text{for each } i \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ there is } b_{n_i} \in B_{n_i} \text{ such that } \lim_{i\to\infty} b_{n_i} = x\} \\ &\subset \{x \in X \mid \text{for each } i \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ there is } a_{n_i} \in A_{n_i} \text{ such that } \lim_{i\to\infty} a_{n_i} = x\} = A. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof is complete. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let* $\alpha : \mathrm{Fin}(X) \to (0,\infty)$ *be a map. If* X *is locally path-connected, then there exsits a map* $\beta : \mathrm{Fin}(X) \to (0,\infty)$ *such that for any* $A \in \mathrm{Fin}(X)$*, each point* $x \in \overline{N_d}(A,\beta(A))$ *has an arc* $\gamma : \mathbf{I} \to X$ *from some point of* A *to* x *of* $\mathrm{diam}_d\, \gamma(\mathbf{I}) < \alpha(A)$*.*

Proof. For each $A \in \mathrm{Fin}(X)$, let

$$\Xi(A) = \left\{ \eta > 0 \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists } 0 < \epsilon < \alpha(A) \text{ such that for any } a \in A \text{ and} \\ x \in \overline{B_d}(a,\eta), \text{ there is an arc from } a \text{ to } x \text{ of diameter } < \epsilon \end{array} \right\}$$

and $\xi(A) = \sup \Xi(A)$. Note that $\Xi(A) \neq \emptyset$ for all $A \in \mathrm{Fin}(X)$. Indeed, let $0 < \epsilon < \alpha(A)$. Since X is locally path-connected, and hence locally arcwise-connected [9, Corollary 5.14.7], for each $a \in A$, there exists $\eta(a) > 0$ such that for any $x \in \overline{B_d}(a,\eta(a))$, a and x are connected by an arc of diameter $< \epsilon$. Then $\eta = \min_{a\in A} \eta(a) \in \Xi(A)$. By the definition, $\xi(A) \leq \alpha(A)$.

---

[3] This holds without the assumption that X is separable.

We shall show that ξ is lower semi-continuous. Take any $t \in (0,\infty)$ and any $A \in \xi^{-1}((t,\infty))$. Then we can choose $t < \eta \leq \xi(A)$ so that there is $0 < \epsilon < \alpha(A)$ such that for any $a \in A$ and any $x \in \overline{B_d}(a,\eta)$, a and x are connected by an arc of diameter $< \epsilon$. Since X is locally arcwise-connected, there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that any $a \in A$ and any $x \in \overline{B_d}(a,\delta_1)$ are connected by an arc of diameter $< (\alpha(A)-\epsilon)/2$. By the continuity of α, we can find $\delta_2 > 0$ such that for each $B \in B_{d_H}(A,\delta_2)$, $|\alpha(A)-\alpha(B)| < (\alpha(A)-\epsilon)/2$. Let $\delta = \min\{\delta_1,\delta_2,(\eta-t)/2\}$ and $B \in B_{d_H}(A,\delta)$. Observe that $(\alpha(A)+\epsilon)/2 < \alpha(B)$. Fix any $b \in B$ and any $x \in \overline{B_d}(b,(\eta+t)/2)$. Since $d_H(A,B) < \delta$, we can take $a \in A$ such that $d(a,b) < \delta \leq \delta_1$, and hence there exists an arc γ_1 from b to a of diameter $< (\alpha(A)-\epsilon)/2$. On the other hand,

$$d(a,x) \leq d(a,b) + d(b,x) < \delta + (\eta+t)/2 \leq (\eta-t)/2 + (\eta+t)/2 = \eta,$$

which implies that there is an arc γ_2 from a to x of diameter $< \epsilon$. Joining these arcs γ_1 and γ_2, we can obtain an arc from b to x of diameter $< (\alpha(A)-\epsilon)/2 + \epsilon = (\alpha(A)+\epsilon)/2 < \alpha(B)$. Hence $t < (\eta+t)/2 \leq \xi(B)$, which means that ξ is lower semi-continuous.

According to Theorem 2.7.6 of [9], we can find a map $\beta : \mathrm{Fin}(X) \to (0,\infty)$ such that $0 < \beta(A) < \xi(A)$ for all $A \in \mathrm{Fin}(X)$, that is the desired map. □

The next lemma is useful to detect a strong Z-set in an ANR.

Lemma 3.5 (Lemma 7.2 of [2])**.** *Let A be a topologically complete, closed subset of an ANR Y. If A is a countable union of strong Z-sets in Y, then it is a strong Z-set.*

We denote the cardinality of a set A by $\mathrm{card}\, A$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathrm{Fin}^k(X) = \{A \in \mathrm{Fin}(X) \mid \mathrm{card}\, A \leq k\}$. As is easily observed, $\mathrm{Fin}^k(X)$ is closed in $\mathrm{Fin}(X)$. Applying the above lemma 3.5, we only need to show the following proposition for proving the main theorem.

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose that X is non-degenerate, connected and locally path-connected. Then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathrm{Fin}^k(X)$ is a strong Z-set in $\mathrm{Fin}(X)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be an open cover of $\mathrm{Fin}(X)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We shall construct a map $\phi : \mathrm{Fin}(X) \to \mathrm{Fin}(X)$ so that ϕ is $\mathcal{U}$-close to the identity map on $\mathrm{Fin}(X)$ and $\mathrm{cl}\,\phi(\mathrm{Fin}(X)) \cap \mathrm{Fin}^k(X) = \emptyset$, where for a subset $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathrm{Fin}(X)$, $\mathrm{cl}\,\mathcal{A}$ means the closure of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathrm{Fin}(X)$. Take an open cover $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathrm{Fin}(X)$ that is a star-refinement of $\mathcal{U}$. Since $\mathrm{Fin}(X)$ is an AR by Proposition 3.1, there are a simplicial complex K and maps $f : \mathrm{Fin}(X) \to |K|$, $g : |K| \to \mathrm{Fin}(X)$ such that gf is $\mathcal{V}$-close to the identity map on $\mathrm{Fin}(X)$, refer to [9, Theorem 6.6.2]. It remains to show that there exists a map $h : |K| \to \mathrm{Fin}(X)$ $\mathcal{V}$-close to g such that $\mathrm{cl}\, h(|K|) \cap \mathrm{Fin}^k(X) = \emptyset$ because $\phi = hf$ will be the desired map.

Take a map $\alpha : \mathrm{Fin}(X) \to (0, \min\{1, \mathrm{diam}_d X\})$ so that the family $\{B_{d_H}(A, 2\alpha(A)) \mid A \in \mathrm{Fin}(X)\}$ refines $\mathcal{V}$. Since X is locally path-connected, according to Lemma 3.4, there is a map $\beta : \mathrm{Fin}(X) \to (0,\infty)$ such that for any $A \in \mathrm{Fin}(X)$, each point $x \in \overline{N_d}(A,\beta(A))$ has an arc $\gamma : \mathbf{I} \to X$ from some point of A to x of $\mathrm{diam}_d\, \gamma(\mathbf{I}) < \alpha(A)/2$. We may assume that $\beta(A) \leq \alpha(A)/2$ for every $A \in \mathrm{Fin}(X)$. Combining Lemmas 2.1 with 2.2, we can replace K with a subdivision so that for each $\sigma \in K$,

1. $\mathrm{diam}_{d_H} g(\sigma) < \inf_{y\in\sigma} \beta g(y)/2$,
2. $\sup_{y\in\sigma} \beta g(y) < 2 \inf_{y\in\sigma} \beta g(y)$,
3. $\sup_{y\in\sigma} \alpha g(y) < 4 \inf_{y\in\sigma} \alpha g(y)/3$.

For every $v \in K^{(0)}$, fix a point $x(v) \in g(v)$. According to Lemma 3.2, we can find a point $z(v,j) \in X$ with $d(x(v), z(v,j)) = j\beta g(v)/(4(k+1))$ for each $j = 0, \cdots, k$. Let $h(v) = g(v) \cup \{z(v,j) \mid j = 0, \cdots, k\}$. Clearly, $\operatorname{card} h(v) \geq k+1$ and $d_H(g(v), h(v)) \leq \beta g(v) \leq \alpha g(v)/2$. Observe that for any $0 \leq i < j \leq k$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(z(v,i), z(v,j)) &\geq |d(x(v), z(v,i)) - d(x(v), z(v,j))| = (j-i)\beta g(v)/(4(k+1)) \\ &\geq \beta g(v)/(4(k+1)). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we will extend h over $|K^{(1)}|$. Let $\sigma \in K^{(1)} \setminus K^{(0)}$, $\sigma^{(0)} = \{v_1, v_2\}$ and $\hat\sigma$ be the barycenter of σ. Due to conditions (1) and (2), we have for any $m = 1,2$ and $j = 0, \cdots, k$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(z(v_m,j), g(\hat\sigma)) &\leq d(z(v_m,j), g(v_m)) + d_H(g(v_m), g(\hat\sigma)) \\ &\leq d(z(v_m,j), g(v_m)) + \operatorname{diam}_{d_H} g(\sigma) \\ &< d(z(v_m,j), x(v_m)) + \inf_{y\in\sigma} \beta g(y)/2 < \beta g(v_m)/4 + \inf_{y\in\sigma} \beta g(y)/2 \\ &\leq \sup_{y\in\sigma} \beta g(y)/4 + \inf_{y\in\sigma} \beta g(y)/2 < \inf_{y\in\sigma} \beta g(y) \leq \beta g(\hat\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Hence there is an arc $\gamma(\sigma, v_m, j) : \mathbf{I} \to X$ from some point of $g(\hat\sigma)$ to $z(v_m, j)$ of $\operatorname{diam}_d \gamma(\sigma, v_m, j)(\mathbf{I}) < \alpha g(\hat\sigma)/2$ by Lemma 3.4. Define $h(\hat\sigma) = g(\hat\sigma) \cup \{z(v_m,j) \mid m = 1,2 \text{ and } j = 0, \cdots, k\}$. Note that $\operatorname{card} h(\hat\sigma) \geq k+1$. Moreover, $d_H(g(\hat\sigma), h(\hat\sigma)) \leq \beta g(\hat\sigma) \leq \alpha g(\hat\sigma)/2$. Let $\phi(\sigma) : \mathbf{I} \to \operatorname{Fin}(X)$ be a map defined by

$$\phi(\sigma)(t) = g(\hat\sigma) \cup \{\gamma(\sigma, v_m, j)(t) \mid m = 1,2 \text{ and } j = 0, \cdots, k\},$$

which is a path from $g(\hat\sigma)$ to $h(\hat\sigma)$. For each $m = 1,2$, define a map $h : \langle v_m, \hat\sigma\rangle \to \operatorname{Fin}(X)$ of the segment between v_m and $\hat\sigma$ in σ as follows:

$$h((1-t)v_m + t\hat\sigma) = \begin{cases} g((1-2t)v_m + 2t\hat\sigma) \cup \{z(v_m,j) \mid j = 0, \cdots, k\} & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1/2, \\ \phi(\sigma)(2t-1) \cup \{z(v_m,j) \mid j = 0, \cdots, k\} & \text{if } 1/2 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then for every $y \in \sigma$, when $y = (1-t)v_m + t\hat\sigma$, $0 \leq t \leq 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_H(g(\hat\sigma), h(y)) &\leq \max\{d_H(g(\hat\sigma), g((1-2t)v_m + 2t\hat\sigma)), \\ &\qquad\qquad \max\{d(z(v_m,j), g(\hat\sigma)) \mid j = 0, \cdots, k\}\} \\ &\leq \max\{\operatorname{diam}_{d_H} g(\sigma), \beta g(\hat\sigma)\} \\ &< \max\{\inf_{y'\in\sigma} \beta g(y')/2, \beta g(\hat\sigma)\} \leq \beta g(\hat\sigma) \leq \alpha g(\hat\sigma)/2, \end{aligned}$$

and when $y = (1-t)v_m + t\hat\sigma$, $1/2 \leq t \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_H(g(\hat\sigma), h(y)) &\leq \max\{d_H(g(\hat\sigma), \phi(\sigma)(2t-1)), \max\{d(z(v_m,j), g(\hat\sigma)) \mid j = 0, \cdots, k\}\} \\ &\leq \max\{\max\{\operatorname{diam}_{d_H} \gamma(\sigma, v_n, j)(\mathbf{I}) \mid n = 1,2 \text{ and } j = 0, \cdots, k\}, \beta g(\hat\sigma)\} \\ &< \max\{\alpha g(\hat\sigma)/2, \beta g(\hat\sigma)\} = \alpha g(\hat\sigma)/2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, due to condition (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_H(g(y), h(y)) &\leq d_H(g(y), g(\hat\sigma)) + d_H(g(\hat\sigma), h(y)) \leq \operatorname{diam}_{d_H} g(\sigma) + \alpha g(\hat\sigma)/2 \\ &< \inf_{y'\in\sigma} \beta g(y')/2 + \alpha g(\hat\sigma)/2 \leq \beta g(\hat\sigma)/2 + \alpha g(\hat\sigma)/2 \leq 3\alpha g(\hat\sigma)/4 \\ &\leq 3 \sup_{y'\in\sigma} \alpha g(y')/4 < \inf_{y'\in\sigma} \alpha g(y') \leq \alpha g(y). \end{aligned}$$

Note that for each $y \in \sigma$, $h(y)$ contains $\{z(v_1,j) \mid j = 0, \cdots, k\}$ or $\{z(v_2,j) \mid j = 0, \cdots, k\}$, so $\operatorname{card} h(y) \geq k+1$.

By induction, we shall construct a map $h : |K| \to \mathrm{Fin}(X)$ such that for each $y \in \sigma \in K \setminus K^{(0)}$, $h(y) = \bigcup_{a \in A} h(a)$ for some $A \in \mathrm{Fin}(|\sigma^{(1)}|)$. Assume that h extends over $|K^{(n)}|$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $y \in \sigma \in K^{(n)} \setminus K^{(0)}$, $h(y) = \bigcup_{a \in A} h(a)$ for some $A \in \mathrm{Fin}(|\sigma^{(1)}|)$. Take any $\sigma \in K^{(n+1)} \setminus K^{(n)}$. By Lemma 3.3 of [4], there exists a map $r : \sigma \to \mathrm{Fin}(\partial\sigma)$ such that $r(y) = \{y\}$ for all $y \in \partial\sigma$. The map $h|_{\partial\sigma}$ induces $\tilde{h} : \mathrm{Fin}(\partial\sigma) \to \mathrm{Fin}(X)$ defined by $\tilde{h}(A) = \bigcup_{a \in A} h(a)$. Then we can obtain the composition $h_\sigma = \tilde{h}r : \sigma \to \mathrm{Fin}(X)$. It follows from the definition that $h_\sigma|_{\partial\sigma} = h|_{\partial\sigma}$. Observe that for each $y \in \sigma$,

$$h_\sigma(y) = \tilde{h}r(y) = \bigcup_{y' \in r(y)} h(y') = \bigcup_{y' \in r(y)} \bigcup_{a \in A(y')} h(a) = \bigcup_{a \in \bigcup_{y' \in r(y)} A(y')} h(a),$$

where $h(y') = \bigcup_{a \in A(y')} h(a)$ for some $A(y') \in \mathrm{Fin}(|\sigma^{(1)}|)$ by the inductive assumption. Thus we can extend h over $|K^{(n+1)}|$ by $h|_\sigma = h_\sigma$ for all $\sigma \in K^{(n+1)} \setminus K^{(n)}$.

After completing this induction, we can obtain a map $h : |K| \to \mathrm{Fin}(X)$. For each $\sigma \in K \setminus K^{(0)}$, each $y \in \sigma$ and each $a \in |\sigma^{(1)}|$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_H(g(y), h(a)) &\leq d_H(g(y), g(a)) + d_H(g(a), h(a)) < \mathrm{diam}_{d_H} g(\sigma) + \alpha g(a) \\ &< \inf_{y' \in \sigma} \beta g(y')/2 + \sup_{y' \in \sigma} \alpha g(y') \leq \inf_{y' \in \sigma} \alpha g(y')/4 + 4 \inf_{y' \in \sigma} \alpha g(y')/3 \\ &= 19 \inf_{y' \in \sigma} \alpha g(y')/12 < 2\alpha g(y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_H(g(y), h(y)) &= d_H\left(g(y), \bigcup_{a \in \bigcup_{y' \in r(y)} A(y')} h(a)\right) \leq \max_{a \in \bigcup_{y' \in r(y)} A(y')} d_H(g(y), h(a)) \\ &< 2\alpha g(y), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that h is $\mathcal{V}$-close to g. Remark that $\{z(v, j) \mid j = 0, \cdots, k\} \subset h(y)$ for some $v \in \sigma^{(0)}$, and hence $\mathrm{card}\, h(y) \geq k + 1$. It follows that $h(|K|) \cap \mathrm{Fin}^k(X) = \emptyset$. Then we may replace $h(y)$ with $g(y) \cup h(y)$ for every $y \in |K|$, so we have $g(y) \subset h(y)$. The rest of this proof is to show that $\mathrm{cl}\, h(|K|) \cap \mathrm{Fin}^k(X) = \emptyset$.

Suppose that there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $|K|$ such that $\{h(y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is converges to some $A \in \mathrm{Fin}^k(X)$. Take the carrier $\sigma_n \in K$ of y_n and choose $v_n \in \sigma_n^{(0)}$ so that $\{z(v_n, j) \mid j = 0, \cdots, k\} \subset h(y_n)$. Since $g(y_n) \subset h(y_n)$, replacing $\{g(y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with a subsequence, we can obtain $B \subset A$ to which $\{g(y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges by Lemma 3.3. Then $\{\beta g(y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $\beta(B) > 0$. On the other hand, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n \geq n_0$, then $d_H(h(y_n), A) < \epsilon$. Then we can choose $0 \leq i(n) < j(n) \leq k$ for each $n \geq n_0$ so that $z(v_n, i(n)), z(v_n, j(n)) \in B_d(a, \epsilon)$ for some $a \in A$ because

$$\mathrm{card}\, A \leq k < k + 1 = \mathrm{card}\{z(v_n, j) \mid j = 0, \cdots, k\}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta g(y_n)/(8(k+1)) &\leq \sup_{y \in \sigma_n} \beta g(y)/(8(k+1)) < \inf_{y \in \sigma_n} \beta g(y)/(4(k+1)) \\ &\leq \beta g(v_n)/(4(k+1)) \leq d(z(v_n, i(n)), z(v_n, j(n))) < 2\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which means that $\{\beta g(y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0. This is a contradiction. Consequently, $\mathrm{cl}\, h(|K|) \cap \mathrm{Fin}^k(X) = \emptyset$. □

4. The topological type of $\mathrm{Fin}(X)$

In this section, we will discuss the topological type of $\mathrm{Fin}(X)$. Throughout this section, we assume that κ is an infinite cardinal. By $\ell_2^f(\kappa)$, we denote the linear subspace spanned by the canonical orthonormal basis in the Hilbert space of weight κ. J. Mogilski [8] (cf. [3]) gave a characterization to $\ell_2^f(\aleph_0)$, that is extended to the uncountable case of κ by the author [5] (cf. [10]). Using his characterization, D. Curtis and N.T. Nhu [4] (cf. [2]) showed the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. *The hyperspace* $\mathrm{Fin}(X)$ *is homeomorphic to* $\ell_2^f(\aleph_0)$ *if and only if* X *is non-degenerate, connected, locally path-connected, strongly countable-dimensional*[4] *and* σ*-compact.*

We do not know how condition on X is necessary and sufficient for $\mathrm{Fin}(X)$ to be homeomorphic to $\ell_2^f(\kappa)$ for an uncountable cardinal κ. K. Mine, K. Sakai and M. Yaguchi [7] proved the following:

Theorem 4.2. *If* X *is a connected topological manifold modeled by* $\ell_2^f(\kappa)$*, then* $\mathrm{Fin}(X)$ *is homeomorphic to* $\ell_2^f(\kappa)$*.*

Problem. *Give a necessary and sufficient condition on a space* X *for* $\mathrm{Fin}(X)$ *to be homeomorphic to* $\ell_2^f(\kappa)$ *for an uncountable cardinal* κ*.*

References

[1] D.W. Curtis, *Hyperspaces homeomorphic to Hilbert space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **75**, (1979), 126–130.

[2] D.W. Curtis, *Hyperspaces of finite subsets as boundary sets*, Topology Appl. **22**, (1986), 97–107.

[3] D.W. Curtis, T. Dobrowolski and J. Mogilski, *Some applications of the topological characterizations of the sigma-compact spaces* ℓ_2^f *and* Σ, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **284** (1984), 837–846.

[4] D.W. Curtis and N.T. Nhu, *Hyperspaces of finite subsets which are homeomorphic to* $\aleph_0$*-dimensional linear metric spaces*, Topology Appl. **19**, (1985), 251–260.

[5] K. Koshino, *Characterizing non-separable sigma-locally compact infinite-dimensional manifolds and its applications*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **66** (2014), 1155–1189.

[6] J. van Mill, The infinite-dimensional topology of function spaces, North-Holland Math. Library, **64**, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 2001.

[7] K. Mine, K. Sakai and M. Yaguchi, *Hyperspaces of finite sets in universal spaces for absolute Borel classes*, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math. **53**, (2005), 409–419.

[8] J. Mogilski, *Characterizing the topology of infinite-dimensional* σ*-compact manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **92** (1984), 111–118.

[9] K. Sakai, Geometric Aspects of General Topology, Springer, SMM, Springer, Tokyo, 2013.

[10] K. Sakai and M. Yaguchi, *Characterizing manifolds modeled on certain dense subspaces of non-separable Hilbert spaces*, Tsukuba J. Math. **27** (2003), 143–159.

[11] M. Yaguchi, *Hyperspaces of finite subsets of non-separable Hilbert spaces*, Tsukuba J. Math. **30**, (2006), 181–193.

Division of Mathematics, Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, 305-8571, Japan
E-mail address: `kakoshino@math.tsukuba.ac.jp`

---

[4] A space is said to be strongly countable-dimensional if it is written as a countable union of finite-dimensional closed subsets.